

# A Method to Estimate Critical Volumes

R. F. FEDORS

Jet Propulsion Laboratory, Pasadena California 91103

Among the important correlating parameters used for the estimation of thermodynamic properties of substances via the corresponding states type of approach is the volume at the critical temperature  $V_c$  (Reid et al., 1977). Unfortunately, experimentally determined values for  $V_c$  are available for only a relatively few substances, and hence it is often necessary to estimate the critical volume. Various methods for doing this have been proposed, some of which require auxiliary data such as the critical temperature and/or the critical pressure which themselves are generally unknown. It would be advantageous to be able to obtain reasonable estimates for  $V_c$  using as small a number of parameters as possible, and in this category, several methods that employ only the chemical structure as input have been proposed.

1. The Lydersen (1955) method which estimates  $V_c$  by means of

$$V_c = 40 + \sum_i v_{fi} \quad (1)$$

where  $v_{fi}$  is the contribution of each structural group to the critical volume. For this method, forty-one separate  $v_{fi}$  contributions have been reported.

2. The Vetere (1976, 1977) method, which is given by

TABLE 1. ATOMIC AND STRUCTURAL CONTRIBUTIONS TO THE CRITICAL VOLUME

Atom	$v_i$ cm <sup>3</sup> /mole	Structural feature	$v_i$ cm <sup>3</sup> /mole
C	34.426	3-membered ring	-15.824
H	9.172	4-membered ring	-17.247
O	20.291	5-membered ring	-39.126
O (alcohols)	18.000	6-membered ring	-39.508
N	48.855	Double bond	5.028
N (amines)	47.422	Triple bond	0.7973
F	22.242	Each additional ring	
Cl	52.801	attached directly	
Br	71.774	to another ring	
I	96.402	(that is, biphenyl,	
S	50.866	naphthalene,	
		etc.)	35.524

$$V_c = 26.6 + \sum_i v_i$$

TABLE 2. COMPARISON OF CRITICAL VOLUME ESTIMATION METHODS

Method	Avg. % deviation*	Number of increments
Vetere	3.41	44
Lydersen	3.29	41
Present	3.15	18

\* Equal to  $\frac{100}{n} \sum \left| \frac{V_{c \text{ expt}} - V_{c \text{ calc}}}{V_{c \text{ expt}}} \right|$  where  $n$  = number of substances, 160.

$$V_c = 33 + \left[ \sum_i (v_{vi} M_i) \right]^{1.028} \quad (2)$$

where  $v_{vi}$  is the structural contribution and  $M_i$  is the corresponding molecular weight. It is interesting to note that whereas Equation (1) is linear, Equation (2) is not. Vetere lists the values of forty-four separate group contributions.

3. The Fedors (1973) method, wherein  $V_c$  is given by

$$V_c = \sum_i v_{fi} \quad (3)$$

where again  $v_{fi}$  are the separate contributions of each structural group, of which forty-four were evaluated.

We now wish to show that it is possible to estimate  $V_c$  much more simply using a combination of only eighteen atomic and structural contributions. These contributions  $v_i$  are listed in Table 1, and  $V_c$  is given by the linear expression

$$V_c = 26.6 + \sum_i v_i \quad (4)$$

Superficially, Equations (1), (3), and (4) are very similar, but the great advantage of Equation (4) lies in the simplicity of the  $v_i$  values.

To compare the applicability of these various estimation procedures, we used the critical data listed in the compilation of Kudchadker et al. (1968). In order to obtain a fairer comparison, only data for those substances to which all estimation methods were applicable were used; the total number of such substances was 160. Excluded from the data base were about a dozen substances such as methane, the formate esters, nitromethane, etc., for which either the Lydersen or Vetere method or both were not applicable. The results of the comparison are shown in Table 2. As may be seen, the three methods yield about the same average error, that is, about 3%, but the new method is decidedly superior in the much smaller number of increments required to fit the 160 data points.

## ACKNOWLEDGMENT

This paper presents the results of one phase of research carried out at the Jet Propulsion Laboratory, California Institute of Technology, under Contract Number NAS7-100 sponsored by the National Aeronautics and Space Administration.

## LITERATURE CITED

- Fedors, R. F., "A Relationship Between the Volume and Chemical Structure at the Glass Transition Temperature," *J. Polymer Sci., Polymer Letters Edition*, **11**, 767 (1973).  
Kudchadker, A. P., G. H. Alani, and B. J. Zwolinski, "The Critical Constants of Organic Substances," *Chem. Rev.*, **68**, 659 (1968).  
Lydersen, A. L., "Estimation of Critical Properties of Organic Compounds," *Univ. Wisc. Coll. Eng. Exp. Stn. Rept.*, Madison (Apr., 1955).  
Reid, R. C., J. M. Prausnitz, and T. K. Sherwood, *The Properties of Gases and Liquids*, McGraw-Hill, New York (1977).  
Vetere, A., "An Empirical Method for Evaluating Critical Molar Volumes," *AIChE J.*, **22**, 950 (1976).  
———, "An Empirical Method Evaluating Critical Molar Volumes," *Errata*, *ibid.*, **23**, 406 (1977).

Manuscript received February 27, 1978; revision received June 9, and accepted June 20, 1978.